



GARFIELD COUNTY

Financial Report

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021

Cindy Byrd, CPA

State Auditor & Inspector

GARFIELD COUNTY, OKLAHOMA FINANCIAL STATEMENT AND INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

This publication, issued by the Oklahoma State Auditor and Inspector's Office as authorized by 19 O.S. § 171, has not been printed, but is available on the agency's website (www.sai.ok.gov) and in the Oklahoma Department of Libraries Publications Clearinghouse Digital Prairie Collection (http://digitalprairie.ok.gov/cdm/search/collection/audits/) pursuant to 65 O.S. § 3-114.



Cindy Byrd, CPA | State Auditor & Inspector

2300 N. Lincoln Blvd., Room 123, Oklahoma City, OK 73105 | 405.521.3495 | www.sai.ok.gov

January 22, 2024

TO THE CITIZENS OF GARFIELD COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

Transmitted herewith is the audit of Garfield County, Oklahoma for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021. The audit was conducted in accordance with 19 O.S. § 171.

A report of this type can be critical in nature. Failure to report commendable features in the accounting and operating procedures of the entity should not be interpreted to mean that they do not exist.

The goal of the State Auditor and Inspector is to promote accountability and fiscal integrity in state and local government. Maintaining our independence as we provide this service to the taxpayers of Oklahoma is of utmost importance.

We wish to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the assistance and cooperation extended to our office during our engagement.

This report is a public document pursuant to the Oklahoma Open Records Act (51 O.S. § 24A.1 et seq.) and shall be open to any person for inspection and copying.

Sincerely,

CINDY BYRD, CPA

OKLAHOMA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

Board of County Commissioners

District 1 – Marc Bolz

District 2 – Reese Wedel

District 3 – James Simunek

County Assessor

Darla Dickson

County Clerk

Lorie Legere

County Sheriff

Cory S. Rink

County Treasurer

Kevin R. Postier

Court Clerk

Janelle Sharp

District Attorney

Michael Fields

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>FINANCIAL SECTION</u>
Report of State Auditor and Inspector
Financial Statement:
Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Balances—Regulatory Basis
Notes to the Financial Statement
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
Comparative Schedule of Expenditures—Budget and Actual—Budgetary Basis—General Fund 13
Comparative Schedule of Expenditures—Budget and Actual—Budgetary Basis—Health Fund 14
Note to Supplementary Information 15
INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE SECTION
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards
Schedule of Findings and Perponses





Cindy Byrd, CPA | State Auditor & Inspector

2300 N. Lincoln Blvd., Room 123, Oklahoma City, OK 73105 | 405.521.3495 | www.sai.ok.gov

Independent Auditor's Report

TO THE OFFICERS OF GARFIELD COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

Report on the Financial Statement

We have audited the total—all county funds on the accompanying regulatory basis Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Balances of Garfield County, Oklahoma, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statement, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statement as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statement

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of this financial statement in accordance with the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1, and for determining that the regulatory basis of accounting is an acceptable basis for the preparation of the financial statement in the circumstances. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statement.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1, the financial statement is prepared by Garfield County using accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Oklahoma state law, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The effects on the financial statement of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the "Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles" paragraph, the financial statement referred to above does not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of Garfield County as of June 30, 2021, or changes in financial position for the year then ended.

Unmodified Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the total receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash balances for all county funds of Garfield County, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, in accordance with the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

Other Matters

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the total of all county funds on the financial statement. The supplementary information, as listed in the table of contents, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statement.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statement. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statement or to the financial statement itself, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statement.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated October 23, 2023, on our consideration of Garfield County's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part

of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Garfield County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

CINDY BYRD, CPA

OKLAHOMA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

October 23, 2023



GARFIELD COUNTY, OKLAHOMA STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH BALANCES—REGULATORY BASIS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

	Beginning Cash Balan July 1, 202	ces	Receipts Apportioned	Transfers In	Transfers Out	Disbursements	Ending sh Balances ne 30, 2021
County Funds:							
County General	\$ 9,221,	197	\$ 9,172,273	3 \$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,487,154	\$ 8,906,316
County Highway Unrestricted	4,497,	197	5,829,921	-	-	5,475,353	4,851,765
Jail-ST		-	2,342,336	-	-	2,342,336	-
Health	3,306,0)36	1,930,631	-	-	1,312,302	3,924,365
Jail	343,)71	1,700,573	-	-	1,988,801	54,843
Rural Fire-ST	2,730,	172	1,057,026	-	-	1,007,647	2,779,551
Sheriff Board of Prisoners	5,0)40			-	55	4,985
Sheriff Service Fee	101,	549	366,173	3 -	-	301,469	166,253
County Bridge and Road Improvement	1,043,	326	304,726	5 -	-	272,778	1,075,774
Resale Property	920,	355	437,779	16,685	-	116,679	1,258,140
Assessor Visual Inspection	15,	362	· -	. ´ <u>-</u>	-	· -	15,862
County Clerk Records Management and Preservation	19,	626	118,480) -	-	88,926	49,180
County Clerk Lien Fee		163	14,639	-	-	14,403	7,399
Treasurer Mortgage Certfication	4,4	181	11,150) -	-	7,508	8,123
Sheriff Training	1,	548	500) -	-	1,242	806
Sheriff Commissary	8,	700	26,029	-	-	33,639	1,090
Assessor Revolving Fee	17,	606	1,557	7 -	-	· -	19,163
Sheriff Drug Buy	ĺ	521	· -		-	-	621
Sheriff Environmental Quality	4,	364	13	3 -	-	_	4,377
Sheriff Confidential Purchase		500	-		-	_	500
Sheriff Juvenile Justice	5.0)36	-		-	_	5,036
Sheriff DARE		392	-		_	_	1,392
Sheriff Forfeiture	,	114	-		_	_	1,414
County Donations	,	326	38,024	1 -	-	26,819	12,531
Special Insurance		713	· .		-	, -	9,713
Use Tax-ST	1,645,		528,494	1 -	-	814,195	1,359,932
Safe Room Grant		080			-	· -	1,080
Local Emergency Planning Committee	13,	517	-		-	_	13,517
Emergency Management	5,0)52	-		-	3,273	1,779
Drug Court	60,		195,379		-	156,800	99,278
Court Clerk Payroll		157	123,185	5 -	-	113,537	10,105
National Association of County and City Health Officials	2.:	500			_	-	2,500
County Sinking Fund	_,,	-	3,457,524	1 -	_	2,829,924	627,600
American Rescue Plan Act of 2021		-	5,929,706		-	-	5,929,706
Total - All County Funds	\$ 23,996,	733	\$ 33,586,118		\$ -	\$ 26,394,840	\$ 31,204,696

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Reporting Entity

Garfield County is a subdivision of the State of Oklahoma created by the Oklahoma Constitution and regulated by Oklahoma Statutes.

The accompanying financial statement presents the receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash balances of the total of all funds under the control of the primary government. The general fund is the county's general operating fund, accounting for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund, where its use is restricted for a specified purpose. Other funds established by statute and under the control of the primary government are also presented.

The County Treasurer collects and remits material amounts of intergovernmental revenues and ad valorem tax revenue for other budgetary entities, including school districts, and cities and towns. The cash receipts and disbursements attributable to those other entities do not appear in funds on the County's financial statement; those funds play no part in the County's operations. Any trust or agency funds maintained by the County are not included in this presentation.

B. Fund Accounting

The County uses funds to report on receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash balances. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

Following are descriptions of the county funds included within the financial statement:

<u>County General</u> – accounts for revenues from ad valorem, officer's fees, interest earnings, and miscellaneous collections of the County. Disbursements are for the general operations of the County.

<u>County Highway Unrestricted</u> – accounts for revenues from state-imposed fuel taxes and disbursements are for the maintenance and construction of county roads and bridges.

<u>Jail–ST</u> – accounts for the collections of sales tax revenue and disbursements are made to the Criminal Justice Authority in accordance with the sales tax agreement.

<u>Health</u> – accounts for revenues from ad valorem taxes, miscellaneous fees charged by the health department, and state and federal funds. Disbursements are for the operation of the County Health Department

<u>Jail</u> – accounts for bond fees received from the Court Clerk, prisoner housing reimbursements received from the City of Enid, and for money sent from the Criminal Justice Authority. The disbursements are for maintaining and operating the Garfield County Detention Facility.

GARFIELD COUNTY, OKLAHOMA NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

<u>Rural Fire-ST</u> – accounts for the sales tax received for the operation of the Breckenridge, Covington, Douglas, Drummond, Fairmont, Garber, Hillsdale, Hunter, Kremlin, Lahoma, Waukomis, and Pioneer rural fire departments and disbursements are for the purpose of contracting with rural fire departments.

<u>Sheriff Board of Prisoners</u> – accounts for the collection and disbursement of money supplied to the Sheriff's office for the housing of prisoners for towns, tribes, and other counties. The Sheriff shall be permitted to expend the funds for capital expenditures. The Sheriff shall be permitted to expend any surplus in the Sheriff Service Fee Account for administering expenses for salaries, training, equipment, or travel.

<u>Sheriff Service Fee</u> – accounts for revenues from fees charged for serving summons and notices. Disbursements are for any lawful expense of the Sheriff's office.

<u>County Bridge and Road Improvement</u> – accounts for state receipts and disbursements are for the purpose of maintaining bridges and roads.

<u>Resale Property</u> – accounts for revenues from interest and penalties on delinquent ad valorem taxes. Disbursements are to offset the expense of collecting delinquent ad valorem taxes.

<u>Assessor Visual Inspection</u> – accounts for the collection and expenditure of monies by the Assessor as restricted by state statute for the visual inspection program.

<u>County Clerk Records Management and Preservation</u> – accounts for revenues from a fee charged by the County Clerk for recording instruments. Disbursements are for the maintenance and preservation of public records.

<u>County Clerk Lien Fee</u> – accounts for revenues from a fee charged by the County Clerk for filing liens. Disbursements are for any lawful expense of the County Clerk's office.

<u>Treasurer Mortgage Certification</u> – accounts for revenues from a fee for certifying mortgages. Disbursements are for any lawful expense of the Treasurer's office.

<u>Sheriff Training</u> – accounts for the collection and disbursement of money supplied to the Sheriff's office for the training of officers.

<u>Sheriff Commissary</u> – accounts for the profit of collection of funds on items sold to prisoners. Funds are disbursed for additional merchandise and jail operating expenses.

<u>Assessor Revolving Fee</u> – accounts for revenues from fees charged by the County Assessor. Disbursements are for any lawful expense of the Assessor's office.

<u>Sheriff Drug Buy</u> – accounts for monies received from forfeitures and disbursed for drug enforcement.

GARFIELD COUNTY, OKLAHOMA NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

<u>Sheriff Environmental Quality</u> – accounts for a percentage of fines imposed for littering and disbursed for rewards in the arrest and conviction of any person who violates these provisions.

<u>Sheriff Confidential Purchase</u> – accounts for the undercover drug purchases and the reimbursement of those funds.

<u>Sheriff Juvenile Justice</u> – accounts for the receipt of federal grants for the use of juvenile detention.

<u>Sheriff DARE</u> – accounts for the donations and disbursements of the DARE program.

<u>Sheriff Forfeiture</u> – accounts for the receipt of unclaimed property that is court ordered as property of the Sheriff's office and disbursements are for drug related programs.

<u>County Donations</u> – accounts for donations to the County for specified projects as restricted by resolutions approved by the Board of County Commissioners.

<u>Special Insurance</u> – established to administer the County's pooled self-insurance fund and accounts for receipts and disbursements for insurance loss.

<u>Use Tax–ST</u> – accounts for the use tax collected by the State of Oklahoma and disbursed as directed by the Board of County Commissioners.

<u>Safe Room Grant</u> – accounts for the funds received for the safe room rebate program funded through the Oklahoma Department of Civil Emergency Management.

<u>Local Emergency Planning Committee</u> – accounts for revenues from the State of Oklahoma and disbursements are for the purchase of equipment for Emergency Management.

<u>Emergency Management</u> – accounts for the receipt and disbursement of funds from state and local governments for civil defense purposes.

<u>Drug Court</u> – accounts for funds received through the Department of Mental Health and disbursements are for the operation of the drug court program.

<u>Court Clerk Payroll</u> – accounts for funds deposited by the Court Clerk and disbursements are for payroll operations of the Court Clerk's office.

<u>National Association of County and City Health Officials</u> – accounts for the receipt of Medical Reserves Corp federal awards and disbursements are to be in accordance with award requirements.

<u>County Sinking Fund</u> – accounts for revenue received through ad valorem collections for the payment of a judgment against the County.

American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 – accounts for monies received from the United States Department of Treasury and disbursed for responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency and its negative economic impact, premium pay to eligible workers, the provision of government services to the extent of the reduction in revenue due to the COVID-19 public health emergency, and investments in water, sewer, and broadband infrastructure as restricted by federal requirements.

C. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement is prepared on a basis of accounting wherein amounts are recognized when received or disbursed. This basis of accounting differs from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which require revenues to be recognized when they become available and measurable or when they are earned, and expenditures or expenses to be recognized when the related liabilities are incurred. This regulatory basis financial presentation is not a comprehensive measure of economic condition or changes therein.

Title 19 O.S. § 171 allows Oklahoma counties to present their financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) or on a regulatory basis. The County has elected to present their financial statement on a regulatory basis in conformity with Title 19 O.S. § 171, which specifies the format and presentation of such regulatory basis financial statements: county governments (primary only) are required to present their financial statements on a fund basis format with, at a minimum, the general fund and all other county funds, which represent ten percent or greater of total county revenue with all other funds included in the audit presented in the aggregate in a combining statement. However, the County has elected to present all funds included in the audit in the Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Balances—Regulatory Basis.

D. Budget

Under current Oklahoma Statutes, a general fund and a county health department fund are the only funds required to adopt a formal budget. On or before the first Monday in July of each year, each officer or department head submits an estimate of needs to the governing body. The budget is approved for the respective fund by office, or department and object. The County Board of Commissioners may approve changes of appropriations within the fund by office or department and object. To increase or decrease the budget by fund requires approval by the County Excise Board.

E. Cash and Investments

For the purposes of financial reporting, "Ending Cash Balances, June 30" includes cash and cash equivalents and investments as allowed by statutes. The County pools the cash of its various funds in maintaining its bank accounts. However, cash applicable to a particular fund is readily identifiable on the County's books. The balance in the pooled cash accounts is available to meet current operating requirements.

State statutes require financial institutions with which the County maintains funds to deposit collateral securities to secure the County's deposits. The amount of collateral securities to be pledged is established by the County Treasurer; this amount must be at least the amount of the deposit to be secured, less the amount insured (by, for example, the FDIC).

The County Treasurer has been authorized by the County's governing board to make investments. Allowable investments are outlined in statutes 62 O.S. § 348.1 and § 348.3.

All investments must be backed by the full faith and credit of the United States Government, the Oklahoma State Government, fully collateralized, or fully insured. All investments as classified by state statute are nonnegotiable certificates of deposit. Nonnegotiable certificates of deposit are not subject to interest rate risk or credit risk.

2. Ad Valorem Tax

The County's property tax is levied each October 1 on the assessed value listed as of January 1 of the same year for all real and personal property located in the County, except certain exempt property. Assessed values are established by the County Assessor within the prescribed guidelines established by the Oklahoma Tax Commission and the State Equalization Board. Title 68 O.S. § 2820.A. states, ". . . Each assessor shall thereafter maintain an active and systematic program of visual inspection on a continuous basis and shall establish an inspection schedule which will result in the individual visual inspection of all taxable property within the county at least once each four (4) years."

Taxes are due on November 1 following the levy date, although they may be paid in two equal installments. If the first half is paid prior to January 1, the second half is not delinquent until April 1. The County Treasurer, according to the law, shall give notice of delinquent taxes and special assessments by publication once a week for two consecutive weeks at any time after April 1, but prior to the end of September following the year the taxes were first due and payable. Unpaid real property taxes become a lien upon said property after the treasurer has perfected the lien by public notice.

Unpaid delinquent personal property taxes are usually published in May. If the taxes are not paid within 30 days from publication, they shall be placed on the personal tax lien docket.

3. Other Information

A. Pension Plan

<u>Plan Description</u>. The County contributes to the Oklahoma Public Employees Retirement Plan (the Plan), a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the Oklahoma Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). Benefit provisions are established and amended by the Oklahoma Legislature. The Plan provides retirement, disability, and death benefits

to Plan members and beneficiaries. Title 74, Sections 901 through 943, as amended, establishes the provisions of the Plan. OPERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing OPERS, P.O. Box 53007, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 or by calling 1-800-733-9008.

<u>Funding Policy</u>. The contribution rates for each member category are established by the Oklahoma Legislature and are based on an actuarial calculation which is performed to determine the adequacy of contribution rates.

B. Other Post Employment Benefits (OPEB)

In addition to the pension benefits described in the Pension Plan note, OPERS provides post-retirement health care benefits of up to \$105 each for retirees who are members of an eligible group plan. These benefits are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis as part of the overall retirement benefit. OPEB expenditure and participant information is available for the state as a whole; however, information specific to the County is not available nor can it be reasonably estimated.

C. Contingent Liabilities

Amounts received or receivable from grantor agencies are subject to audit and adjustment by grantor agencies, primarily the federal government. Any disallowed claims, including amounts already collected, may constitute a liability of the applicable fund. The amount, if any, of expenditures which may be disallowed by the grantor cannot be determined at this time; however, the County expects such amounts, if any, to be immaterial.

The County is a defendant in various lawsuits. Although the outcome of these lawsuits is not presently determinable, in management's opinion, the resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the County.

D. Long Term Obligations

1. Judgments

The County has a judgment which is being retired by a tax levy. The County is obligated to pay the judgment over a three-year period.

<u>Case Number</u> CIV-17-634-SLP Original Judgment \$12,500,000

In fiscal year 2020, the County was required to pay a down payment on the judgment. The down payment was for \$4,500,000. The Garfield County Criminal Justice Authority paid the County's portion of the down payment in the amount of \$2,884,188 while insurance covered \$1,615,812 of the down payment. During the fiscal year, the County paid \$2,666,667 in principal and \$163,257 in interest.

Future principal and interest payments that will become due on the existing judgment are as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>		
2022	\$ 2,666,666	\$ 81,600	\$ 2,748,266		
2023	2,666,667	40,800	2,707,467		
Total	\$5,333,333	\$122,400	\$5,455,733		

E. Sales Tax

The voters of Garfield County approved to extend the .25% (.0025) county sales tax until January 1, 2033. This sales tax is accounted for in the Jail-ST fund and disbursed to the Garfield County Criminal Justice Authority in accordance with the sales tax agreement. The sales tax is administered by the Garfield County Criminal Justice Authority for the purpose of operating and maintaining the County jail owned and operated by Garfield County. A resolution passed by the Garfield County Criminal Justice Authority directed the Garfield County Treasurer to create the Jail Trust Authority fund. The Authority also agreed to let the Garfield County Board of County Commissioners and the Garfield County Sheriff determine how much money the Authority should send to the County to deposit into the Jail Trust Authority, a trust and agency fund, and use for the operations of the Garfield County Detention Facility.

The voters of Garfield County approved to extend a .10% (.0010) county sales tax effective January 1, 2015 and shall terminate December 31, 2024. The sales tax is dedicated to the twelve (12) county rural fire departments. These funds are accounted for within the Rural Fire–ST fund.

F. Tax Abatements

The County is subject to ad valorem tax abatements granted by the State of Oklahoma in accordance with the Oklahoma Constitution, Article 10 Section 6B for qualifying manufacturing concern—ad valorem tax exemption.

Under this program, a five-year ad valorem tax exemption exempts all real and personal property that is necessary for the manufacturing of a product and facilities engaged in research and development which meet the requirements set by the Oklahoma Constitution and statutes. Under Title 68 O.S. § 2902, in exchange for the five-year exemption, qualifying manufacturing concerns must meet certain minimum investment requirements for construction, acquisition, or expansion of a manufacturing facility. In addition, there are general minimum payroll requirements that must be met and the qualifying manufacturing concern must offer basic health benefit plans to all full-time employees within 180 days of employment.

The County had \$3,495,858 of ad valorem taxes abated under this program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021.

The State has an Ad Valorem Reimbursement Fund in accordance with Title 62 O.S. § 193 that is used to reimburse the County for the loss of revenue. Monies apportioned to this fund by the State also may be transferred to other state funds or otherwise expended as directed by the Legislature. In the event monies apportioned to the Fund are insufficient to pay all claims for reimbursement, claims for reimbursement for loss of revenue due to manufacturing exemptions of ad valorem taxes shall be paid first, and any remaining funds shall be distributed proportionally among the counties making claims for reimbursement for loss of revenue for school district exemptions.

G. Interfund Transfers

During the fiscal year, the County made the following transfers between cash funds:

• \$16,685 was transferred from the Excess Resale fund (a trust and agency fund) to the Resale Property fund in accordance with 68 O.S. § 3131C.



GARFIELD COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES—BUDGET AND ACTUAL— BUDGETARY BASIS—GENERAL FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

	General Fund				
	Budge	et	Actual		Variance
District Attorney	\$ 50),700 \$	38,692	\$	12,008
District Attorney - County		7,614	6,920		694
Sheriff	1,910),614	1,904,230		6,384
Treasurer	23	1,267	228,322		2,945
Commissioners	470),315	463,970		6,345
OSU Extension	204	1,538	195,074		9,464
County Clerk	278	3,767	277,952		815
County Clerk Assigned Subdepartments	119	9,166	119,165		1
Court Clerk	599	9,785	599,540		245
Assessor	398	3,794	322,019		76,775
Visual Inspection	634	1,366	381,363		253,003
Juvenile Shelter/Bureau	355	5,342	325,806		29,536
District Court]	1,001	-		1,001
General Government	5,327	7,568	454,417		4,873,151
Excise Equalization	14	1,200	13,459		741
Election Board	176	5,150	149,826		26,324
Insurance - Benefits	3,445	5,002	3,269,686		175,316
Information Technology	100),570	91,533		9,037
Emergency Management	22	2,390	20,267		2,123
Charity	3	3,080	1,315		1,765
Planning Commission		2	-		2
Building Maintenance	1,057	7,968	1,008,425		49,543
Courthouse Security	120	5,855	126,048		807
County Audit Budget	244	1,606	31,921		212,685
Free Fair Budget		1,000	-		1,000
Total Expenditures, Budgetary Basis	\$ 15,78	1,660 \$	10,029,950	\$	5,751,710

GARFIELD COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES—BUDGET AND ACTUAL— BUDGETARY BASIS—HEALTH FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

	Health Fund				
	Budget	Budget Actual			
Health and Welfare	\$ 4,641,935	\$ 1,312,086	\$ 3,329,849		
Total Expenditures, Budgetary Basis	\$ 4,641,935	\$ 1,312,086	\$ 3,329,849		

1. Budgetary Schedules

The Comparative Schedules of Expenditures—Budget and Actual—Budgetary Basis for the General Fund and the Health Fund presents comparisons of the legally adopted budget with actual data. The "actual" data, as presented in the comparison of budget and actual, will differ from the data as presented in the Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Balances because of adopting certain aspects of the budgetary basis of accounting and the adjusting of encumbrances and outstanding warrants to their related budget year.

Encumbrance accounting, under which purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation, is employed as an extension of formal budgetary integration in these funds. At the end of the year unencumbered appropriations lapse.





Cindy Byrd, CPA | State Auditor & Inspector

2300 N. Lincoln Blvd., Room 123, Oklahoma City, OK 73105 | 405.521.3495 | www.sai.ok.gov

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

TO THE OFFICERS OF GARFIELD COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the total—all county funds of the accompanying Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Balances of Garfield County, Oklahoma, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statement, which collectively comprise Garfield County's basic financial statement, prepared using accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Oklahoma state law, and have issued our report thereon dated October 23, 2023.

Our report included an adverse opinion on the financial statement because the statement is prepared using accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Oklahoma state law, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. However, our report also included our opinion that the financial statement does present fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash balances – regulatory basis of the County as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, on the basis of accounting prescribed by Oklahoma state law, described in Note 1.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered Garfield County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Garfield County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Garfield County's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses that we consider to be material weaknesses: 2021-001, 2021-002, 2021-003, 2021-004, and 2021-005.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Garfield County's financial statement is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statement. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as items 2021-002 and 2021-005.

Garfield County's Response to Findings

Garfield County's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. Garfield County's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

CINDY BYRD, CPA

OKLAHOMA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

October 23, 2023

SECTION 1—Findings related to the Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

Finding 2021-001 – Lack of County–Wide Internal Controls (Repeat Finding - 2017-001, 2018-001, 2019-001, 2020-001)

Condition: Through the process of gaining an understanding of the County's internal control structure, it was noted that county-wide controls regarding Control Environment, Risk Assessment, and Monitoring have not been designed.

Cause of Condition: Policies and procedures have not been designed and implemented to address the risks of the County.

Effect of Condition: Without an adequate system of county-wide controls, there is greater risk of a breakdown in control activities which could result in unrecorded transactions, undetected errors, or misappropriation of funds.

Recommendation: The Oklahoma State Auditor & Inspector's Office (OSAI) recommends that the County design and implement a system of county-wide procedures to identify and address risks related to financial reporting and to ensure that information is communicated effectively. OSAI also recommends that the County design and implement monitoring procedures to assess the quality of performance over time. These procedures should be written policies and procedures and could be included in the County's policies and procedures handbook.

Management Response:

Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners: We will design a procedure to use the OSAI Form #500 to assess risks at all our Class A Officers' meetings.

County Clerk: I have reviewed the OSAI Form #500, Checklist for Quarterly Officers' Meetings. I have discussed this need with the Chairman, and we will make this an agenda item at our future Class A Officers' meetings to review this form, have each officer sign off on the cover sheet, and I will keep a binder with the form that includes notes from the meeting for review at future audits.

County Treasurer: County-wide internal controls are being considered and up for discussion in the Class A Officers' meetings.

Criteria: The United States Government Accountability Office's *Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government* (2014 version) aided in guiding our assessments and conclusion. Although this publication (GAO Standards) addresses controls in the federal government, this criterion can be treated as best practices and may be applied as a framework for an internal control system for state, local, and quasi-governmental entities.

The GAO Standards – Section 1 – Fundamental Concepts of Internal Control – OV1.01 states in part:

Definition of Internal Control

Internal control is a process effected by an entity's oversight body, management, and other personnel that provides reasonable assurance that the objectives of an entity will be achieved.

Additionally, GAO Standards – Section 2 – Establishing an Effective Internal Control System – OV2.04 states in part:

Components, Principles, and Attributes

Control Environment - The foundation for an internal control system. It provides the discipline and structure to help an entity achieve its objectives.

Risk Assessment - Assesses the risks facing the entity as it seeks to achieve its objectives. This assessment provides the basis for developing appropriate risk responses.

Information and Communication - The quality information management and personnel communicate and use to support the internal control system.

Monitoring - Activities management establishes and operates to assess the quality of performance over time and promptly resolve the findings of audits and other reviews.

Finding 2021-002 – Lack of Internal Controls and Noncompliance Over the County's Financial Statement Presentation (Repeat Finding 2017-006, 2018-006, 2019-006, 2020-002)

Condition: The County has not designed and implemented internal controls to ensure the accurate presentation of the County's financial statement. During the review and reconciliation of the financial statement as initially prepared by the County, it was determined that the beginning balance was overstated by \$711, the apportionments were understated by \$5,929,706, the disbursements were understated by \$291,793, and the ending balance was understated by \$5,928,995.

Cause of Condition: Policies and procedures have not been designed and implemented to ensure that the County's financial statement is accurately presented.

Effect of Condition: These conditions resulted in noncompliance with state statute and a misstated financial report and could result in unrecorded transactions, undetected errors and misappropriation of funds.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends the County design and implement policies and procedures to ensure the County's financial statement is accurately presented.

Management Response:

Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners: I will review the financial statement online to make sure it accurately reflects the true financial state of the County.

County Treasurer: The \$5,929,706 apportionment understatement was ARPA funds that were on hold at the bank waiting for direction from the County Commissioners. The funds were appropriated on July 21, 2021. The \$711 overstated beginning balance was moved from "7501 Estray Animals" account to "0001 General Fund" via a "Transfer of Appropriation Account Balances" form on April 11, 2022. The Treasurer's office will involve two deputies to compile and verify the County's financial statements in the future.

Criteria: The County is required to present a financial statement for each fiscal year ended June 30.

Title 19 O.S. § 171 states in part, "Unless the county elects to prepare its financial statement in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, the county shall present their financial statements in a regulatory basis of accounting."

The limitations of the auditor are described in the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Clarified Statements on Auditing Standards AU-C § 210, which states, in part: "The concept of an independent audit requires that the auditor's role does not involve assuming management's responsibility for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements or assuming responsibility for the entity's related internal control and that the auditor has a reasonable expectation of obtaining the information necessary for the audit insofar as management is able to provide or procure it. Accordingly, the premise is fundamental to the conduct of an independent audit."

Finding 2021-003 – Reconciliation of Appropriation Ledger to General Ledger (Repeat Finding - 2013-005, 2014-005, 2015-011, 2016-012, 2017-002, 2018-002, 2019-002, 2020-005)

Condition: Based on our documentation of controls, reconciliations are not being performed between the County Clerk's appropriation ledger and the County Treasurer's general ledger for all funds on a monthly basis.

Cause of Condition: Policies and procedures have not been designed and implemented to ensure the reconciliation of the County Clerk's appropriation ledger to the County Treasurer's general ledger for all funds on a monthly basis.

Effect of Condition: This condition could result in unrecorded transactions, misstated financial reports, undetected errors, and misappropriation of funds.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends management take steps to ensure reconciliations are performed between all funds presented on the County Clerk's appropriation ledger and the County Treasurer's

general ledger. Documentation of this reconciliation should be reviewed and approved by someone other than the preparer.

Management Response:

Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners: The County Clerk and the Treasurer's office will begin preparing OSAI form 4315 to reconcile the appropriation ledger with the County General Fund and it will be approved by someone other than the preparer.

County Clerk: I have spoken with the First Deputy in the Treasurer's Office regarding our need to do this reconciliation each month between the County Clerk's appropriation ledger and the Treasurer's general ledger. She has many questions, and we will work together, and with the Auditor's Office to get this monthly reconciliation completed.

County Treasurer: Many of the funds are reconciled, some are not. The County Treasurer deputies are working to reconcile the non-reconciled funds. Four have been reconciled to this point, and the two deputies are currently working on the two larger funds.

Criteria: The GAO Standards – Principle 16 – Perform Monitoring Activities – 16.05 states in part:

Internal Control System Monitoring

Management performs ongoing monitoring of the design and operating effectiveness of the internal control system as part of the normal course of operations. Ongoing monitoring includes regular management and supervisory activities, comparisons, reconciliations and other routine actions.

Finding 2021-004 – Lack of Written Disaster Recovery Plans (Repeat Finding – 2009-005, 2010-005, 2013-002, 2014-002, 2015-004, 2016-004, 2017-005, 2018-005, 2019-005, 2020-007)

Condition: A Disaster Recovery Plan has not been completed and approved by the Board of County Commissioners for Garfield County or any County office.

Cause of Condition: Policies and procedures have not been designed to develop and implement a formal Disaster Recovery Plan for each office.

Effect of Condition: The failure to have a formal Disaster Recovery Plan could result in the County being unable to function in the event of a disaster.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends the County develop a current Disaster Recovery Plan which is stored off site. This plan should contain relevant information addressing how the County plans to resume IT operations after a disaster. The County should study and act on different proposals to ensure that back-up tapes of all records in the County are stored in a safe, secure and remote location, off the premises from the courthouse, where information could be easily retrieved by authorized personnel in the event of a disaster.

Management Response:

Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners: We are working to get our Disaster Recovery Plan finalized to address the critical needs and operations of the county are secure in the event of a disaster.

County Clerk: I have been working on my own Disaster Recovery Plan for the County Clerk's and Register of Deeds Office and will share with the Auditor's Office when my plan is complete. I will continue to work with the other Garfield County Elected Officials to complete a county-wide plan.

County Treasurer: Written Disaster Recovery Plans are being considered and up for discussion in the Class A Officers' meeting scheduled for May 8, 2023.

Criteria: According to the standards of the Information Systems Audit and Control Association's (CobiT Delivery and Support 4.3), management should ensure that a written Disaster Recovery Plan is documented and contains the following:

- Guidelines on how to use the Disaster Recovery Plan,
- Emergency procedures to ensure the safety of all affected staff members,
- Roles and responsibilities of information services function, vendors providing recovery services, users of services and support administrative personnel,
- Listing of systems requiring alternatives (hardware, peripherals, software),
- Listing of highest to lowest priority applications, required recovery times and expected performance norms,
- Various recovery scenarios from minor to loss of total capability and response to each in sufficient detail for step-by-step execution,
- Specific equipment and supply needs are identified such as high-speed printers, signatures, forms, communications equipment, telephones, etc. and a source and alternative source defined, training and/or awareness of individual and group roles in continuity plan,
- Listing of contracted service providers,
- Logistical information on location of key resources, including back-up site for recovery operating system, applications, data files, operating manuals and program/system/user documentation,
- Current names, addresses, telephone/pager numbers of key personnel,
- Business resumption alternatives for all users for establishing alternative work locations once IT resources are available.

According to the standards of the Information Systems Audit and Control Association (CobiT 4.1, Delivery and Support, 5.3 Identity Management), information services function management should ensure that all users (internal, external, and temporary) and their activity on IT systems (business application, IT environment, system operations, development, and maintenance) are uniquely identifiable. Enable user identities via authentication mechanisms. Confirm that user access rights to systems and data are in line with defined and documented business needs and that job requirements are attached to user identities. Ensure that user access rights are requested by user management, approved by system owners and implemented by the security-responsible person. Maintain user identities and access rights in a central repository. Deploy cost-effective technical and procedural measures, and keep them current to establish user identification, implement authentication and enforce access rights.

Finding 2021-005 – Lack of Internal Controls and Noncompliance Over Disbursements and Payroll Processes (Repeat Finding – 2013-003, 2014-003, 2015-008, 2016-009, 2017-004, 2018-004, 2019-004, 2020-006)

Condition: Upon inquiry of county personnel and observation of the County's disbursements, we noted a lack of internal controls in the disbursements and payroll processes:

- The County does not have an adequate segregation of duties to ensure that duties assigned to individuals are done so in a manner that would not allow one individual to control both the recording function and the procedures relative to processing a transaction.
- Further, the audit of sixty-three (63) disbursements reflected the following:
 - The test of purchase orders reflected the following:
 - Six (6) purchase orders totaling \$318,344 were not encumbered prior to the County incurring expenses.
 - Five (5) purchase orders totaling \$31,391 were not supported by adequate documentation.
 - Two (2) purchase orders totaling \$152,833 were not supported by a requisition signature.
 - One (1) purchase order in the amount of \$2,324 was not paid in the proper fiscal year.
 - The test of payroll expenditures reflected the following:
 - Five (5) payroll expenditures totaling \$34,836 were not supported by a timesheet signed by an elected official or supervisor.
 - Three (3) payroll expenditures totaling \$17,278 were not supported by a timesheet signed by the employee.
 - One (1) payroll expenditure in the amount of \$2,362 was not supported by a payroll claim signed by the employee.
 - Two (2) payroll expenditures included a compensatory time payout that exceeded the limit set by the handbook by 652 hours.
 - One (1) payroll expenditure included a vacation time payout that exceeded the limit set in the County handbook by 120 hours.

Cause of Condition: Policies and procedures have not been designed and implemented to strengthen internal controls over the disbursements and payroll processes and to ensure compliance with state statutes.

Effect of Condition: These conditions resulted in noncompliance with state statutes and could result in unrecorded transactions, misstated financial reports, undetected errors, and/or misappropriation of funds.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends the County design and implement a system of internal controls over the disbursement and payroll processes. Such controls should include ensuring that funds are encumbered prior to the receipt of goods and/or services, disbursements are supported by adequate documentation, and that purchase orders and warrants are issued in accordance with 19 O.S. § 1505. OSAI further recommends

that the payroll process be adequately segregated to strengthen the internal controls over county disbursements.

Additionally, OSAI recommends the County implement internal control procedures in accordance with 62 O.S. § 310.4 to ensure current year funds are not used for future year debt.

Management Response:

County Commissioner District 1: The receiving officer will initial and date the payment slips for lease purchases indicating that it is a legitimate expense of the county.

County Commissioner District 2: We will continue to implement procedures to ensure that the proper steps are taken not to overlook any item during the purchasing process, the bidding process, payroll processes and payment processes. These are a critical process in the efficient operations of the County and must never be overlooked. We must stay diligent in our entire process.

County Commissioner District 3: The receiving officer will initial and date the payment slips for lease purchases indicating that it is a legitimate expense of the County.

County Clerk: Regarding the lack of adequate segregation of duties to ensure that duties assigned to individuals are done so in a manner that would not allow one individual to control both the recording function and the procedures relative to processing a transaction. I will instruct my employees that they need to split up their work process, and have another employee do the recording vs. the processing procedure.

Regarding the six (6) purchase orders, we strive to educate and encourage all our County departments to get their purchase orders in place prior to them making a purchase. We will continue to educate our departments on the importance of this law.

Regarding the two (2) purchase orders that did not have the supported requisition signatures. I reviewed those two purchase orders and it truly was a human mistake that those two were not signed. I have reviewed this need with the Payroll Clerk, and we will work together to ensure all forms are signed during the payroll process.

Regarding the five (5) purchase orders not supported by adequate documentation, after visiting with the auditor, the documentation that was lacking was having a statement saying that the requesting officer had reviewed the invoice and approved for this to be paid. During the last audit of the 2020 fiscal year, I had a rubber stamp made, and each invoice is stamped with this statement, then initialed and dated by the requisitioning officer. We are now in compliance with the need to have a statement by the requisitioning officer stating that they reviewed the invoice and agree that it should be paid.

Regarding the one (1) payroll expenditure not supported by a signature by the employee. After asking about the details of this situation, this claim was for an employee who had resigned, and left the same day he resigned. When payroll was prepared for his last check, the supervisor signed and stated that he was signing for the employee. We now understand that we cannot sign when an employee is gone, for whatever reason, and we were instructed to now state on the payroll claim that "SIGNATURE IS NOT AVAILABLE".

Regarding the one (1) purchase order that was not paid in the proper fiscal year. We discussed, and all future situations where a department brings us an invoice and purchase order that is from the prior fiscal year will not be encumbered, and that department will be instructed that they need to find a different means to pay for that purchase, or if another means is not available, we will instruct the vendor that they will need to take the County to district court, and once I have been given a court order to pay the past due invoice, then I will allow a purchase order and invoice, with the court order as documentation to be paid.

Regarding the two (2) payroll expenditures for compensatory time, and the one (1) payroll expenditure for vacation and compensatory time. All of these were from the Sheriff's Department, and it is our understanding that there has been legislation that allows deputies to accrue larger amounts of vacation and compensatory time. We will review the leave limits for law enforcement personnel and will make the appropriate changes to the employee handbook so this will not be an issue in the future.

County Sheriff: The payroll expenditures dated between June 30, 2021, and December 31, 2021 were completed prior to me taking office in January 2022. I have noted these payroll expenditures were not properly signed by the employee. I have taken action to make sure that the payroll claims are signed by employees prior to payment.

I have noted that employees had gained compensation time and holiday time over the allotted time given per the policy and procedures for Garfield County. I have taken steps to help reduce the time given to each employee.

Auditor Response: County employees are allowed to accrue compensatory time. Law enforcement is limited to 480 hours of compensatory time off. In accordance with the County handbook, we recommend those employees be paid for any compensatory time over the 480 hours, so the limit is not exceeded.

Criteria: GAO Standards – Section 2 – Establishing an Effective Internal Control System – OV2.23 states in part:

Objectives of an Entity – Compliance Objectives

Management conducts activities in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. As part of specifying compliance objectives, the entity determines which laws and regulations apply to the entity. Management is expected to set objectives that incorporate these requirements.

Principle 6 – Define Objectives and Risk Tolerances – 6.05 states:

Definitions of Objectives

Management considers external requirements and internal expectations when defining objectives to enable the design of internal control. Legislators, regulators, and standard-setting bodies set external requirements by establishing the laws, regulations, and standards with which the entity is required to comply. Management identifies, understands, and incorporates these requirements into the entity's objectives. Management sets internal expectations and requirements through the established standards of conduct, oversight

structure, organizational structure, and expectations of competence as part of the control environment.

Principle 10 – Design Control Activities – 10.03 states in part:

Segregation of duties

Management divides or segregates key duties and responsibilities among different people to reduce the risk of error, misuse, or fraud. This includes separating the responsibilities for authorizing transactions, processing and recording them, reviewing the transactions, and handling any related assets so that no one individual controls all key aspects of a transaction or event.

Additionally, Principle 10 – Segregation of Duties states:

- 10.12 Management considers segregation of duties in designing control activity responsibilities so that incompatible duties are segregated and, where such segregation is not practical, designs alternative control activities to address the risk.
- 10.13 Segregation of duties helps prevent fraud, waste, and abuse in the internal control system. Management considers the need to separate control activities related to authority, custody, and accounting of operations to achieve adequate segregation of duties. In particular, segregation of duties can address the risk of management override. Management override circumvents existing control activities and increases fraud risk. Management addresses this risk through segregation of duties, but cannot absolutely prevent it because of the risk of collusion, where two or more employees act together to commit fraud.
- 10.14 If segregation of duties is not practical within an operational process because of limited personnel or other factors, management designs alternative control activities to address the risk of fraud, waste, or abuse in the operational process.

Title 19 O.S. § 1505 prescribes the procedures for requisition, purchase, and receipt of supplies, material, and equipment.

Title 62 O.S. § 310.4 states in part, "All unencumbered balances, if any, ... on hand at the close of day June 30, may remain as a credit for said fiscal year up to the close of day September 30, next ... Provided this act shall not be so construed to allow the incurring of a new indebtedness after June 30 chargeable to the appropriation account of the immediately preceding fiscal year."



